

MANŞŪR IBN ʿALĪ IBN ʿIRĀQ, ABŪ NAŞR (*fl.* Khwarizm [now Kara-Kalpakskaya, A.S.S.R.]; *d.* Ghazna [?] [now Ghazni, Afghanistan], ca. 1036), *mathematics, astronomy.*

Abū Naşr was probably a native of Gīlān (Persia); it is likely that he belonged to the family of Banū ʿIrāq who ruled Khwarizm until it fell to the Maʿmūnī dynasty in A.D. 995. He was a disciple of Abuʿl-Wafāʾ al-Būzjānī and the teacher of al-Bīrūnī. Abū Naşr passed most of his life in the court of the monarchs ʿAlī ibn Maʿmūn and Abuʿl-ʿAbbās Maʿmūn, who extended their patronage to a number of scientists, including al-Bīrūnī and Ibn Sīnā. About 1016, the year in which Abuʿl-ʿAbbās Maʿmūn died, both Abū Naşr and al-Bīrūnī left Khwarizm and went to the court of Sultan Maḥmūd al-Ghaznawī in Ghazna, where Abū Naşr spent the rest of his life.

Abū Naşr’s fame is due in large part to his collaboration with al-Bīrūnī. Although this collaboration is generally considered to have begun in about 1008, the year in which al-Bīrūnī returned to Khwarizm from the court of Jurjān (now Kunya-Urgench, Turkmen S.S.R.), there is ample evidence for an earlier date. For example, in his *Al-Āthār al-bāqīya* (Chronology), finished in the year 1000, al-Bīrūnī refers to Abū Naşr as *Ustādhī* - “my master,” while Abū Naşr dedicated his book on the azimuth, written sometime before 998, to his pupil.

This collaboration also presents grave difficulties in assigning the authorship of specific works. A case in point is some twelve works that al-Bīrūnī lists as being written in my name (*bismī*), a phrase that has led scholars to consider them to be of his own composition. Nallino has, however, pointed out that *bismī* might also mean “addressed to me” or “dedicated to me” - by Abū Naşr - and there is considerable evidence in support of this interpretation. For instance, the phrase is used in this sense in both medieval texts (the *Mafātīḥ al-ʿulūm* of Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Khwārizmī of 977) and modern ones of which there is no doubt of the authorship. The incipits and explicits of the works in question make it clear, moreover, that they were written by Abū Naşr in reponse to al-Bīrūnī’s request for solutions to specific problems that had arisen in the course of his more general researches. Indeed, in some of al-Bīrūnī’s own books he mentioned Abū Naşr by name and stated that his book incorporates the results of some investigations that the older man carried out at his request. Al-Bīrūnī gave Abū Naşr full credit for his discoveries - as, indeed, he gave full credit to each of his several collaborators, including Abū Sahl al-Masīḥī, a certain Abū ʿAlī al-Ḥasan ibn al-Jīlī

(otherwise unidentified) and Ibn Sīnā, who wrote answers to philosophical questions submitted to him by al-Bīrūnī.

The extent of the collaboration between Abū Naṣr and al-Bīrūnī may be demonstrated by the latter’s work on the determination of the obliquity of the ecliptic. Al-Bīrūnī carried out observations in Khwarizm in 997, and in Ghazna in 1016, 1019, and 1020. Employing the classical method of measuring the meridian height of the sun at the time of the solstices, he computed the angle of inclination as $23^{\circ}35'$. On the other hand, however, al-Bīrūnī became acquainted with a work by Muḥammad ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ, in which the latter described a method for determining the position, ortive amplitude, and maximum declination of the sun. Since al-Bīrūnī’s copy was full of apparent errors, he gave it to Abū Naṣr and asked him to correct it and to prepare a critical report of Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ’s techniques.

Abū Naṣr thus came to write his *Risāla fi 'l-barāhin 'alā 'amal Muḥammad ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ* (“A Treatise on the Demonstration of the Construction Devised by Muḥammad ibn l-Ṣabbāḥ”), in which he took up Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ’s method in detail and demonstrated that it must be in error to the extent that it depended on the hypothesis of the uniform movement of the sun on the ecliptic. According to Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ, the ortive amplitude of the sun at solstice (a_t) may be obtained by making three observations of the solar ortive amplitude (a_1, a_2, a_3) at thirty-day intervals within a single season of the year. He thus reached the formula:

$$2 \sin a_t = \frac{2 \sin a_2 \sqrt{(2 \sin a_2)^2 - (2 \sin a_1)(2 \sin a_3)}}{\sqrt{(2 \sin a_2)^2 - (\sin a_1 + \sin a_3)^2}}.$$

The same result may also be obtained from only two observations (a_1, a_2) if the distance (d) covered by the sun on the ecliptic over the period between the two observations is known:

$$2 \sin a_t = \frac{R \sqrt{\frac{R^2(\sin a_1 + \sin a_2)^2}{\cos^2 \frac{d}{2}} - 4 \sin a_1 \sin a_2}}{\sin \frac{d}{2}}.$$

The value of a_t is thus extractable in two ways, and the value of the maximum declination can then be discovered by applying the formula of al-Battānī and Ḥabash:

$$\sin \text{ ort. ampl.} = \frac{(\sin \delta) \times R}{\cos \phi}.$$

Al-Bīrūnī then took up Abū Naṣr’s clarification of Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ’s work, citing it in his own *Al-Qānūn al-Maṣʿūdī* and *Taḥdīd*. He remained, however, primarily interested in obtaining the angle of inclination, and simplified Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ’s methods to that end. He thus, within the two formulas, substituted three and two, respectively, observations of the declination of the sun for the three and two observations of solar orteive amplitude. By this method he obtained values for the angle of inclination of $23^{\circ}25'19''$ and $23^{\circ}24'16''$, respectively. These values are clearly at odds with that then commonly held ($23^{\circ}35'$) and confirmed by al-Bīrūnī’s own observations. Al-Bīrūnī then returned to Abū Naṣr’s work, and explained the discrepancy as being due to Ibn al-Ṣabbāḥ’s supposition of the uniform motion of the sun on the ecliptic, as well as to the continuous use of sines and square roots.

Abū Naṣr’s contributions to trigonometry are more direct. He is one of the three authors (the others being Abu’l Wafā’ and Abū Maḥmūd al-Khujandī) to whom al-Ṭūsī attributed the discovery of the sine law whereby in a spherical triangle the sines of the sides are in relationship to the sines of the opposite angles as

$$\frac{\sin a}{\sin A} = \frac{\sin b}{\sin B} = \frac{\sin c}{\sin C},$$

or, in a plane triangle, the sides are in relationship to the sines of the opposite angles as

$$\frac{a}{\sin A} = \frac{b}{\sin B} = \frac{c}{\sin C}.$$

The question of which of these three mathematicians was actually the first to discover this law remains unresolved, however. Luckey has convincingly argued against al-Khujandī, pointing out that he was essentially a practical astronomer, unconcerned with theoretical problems. Both Abū Naṣr and Abu’l Wafā’, on the other hand, claimed discovery of the law, and while it is impossible to determine who has the better right, two considerations would seem to corroborate Abū Naṣr’s contention. First, he employed the law a number of times throughout his astronomical and geometrical writings; whether or not it was his own finding, he nevertheless dealt with it as a significant novelty. Second, Abū Naṣr treated the demonstration of this law in two of his most important works, the *Al-Majistī al-Shāhī* (“Almagest of the Shah”) and the *Kitāb fi’l-sumūt* “Book of the Azimuth”), as well as in two lesser ones, *Risāla fi maʿrifat al-qisiyy al-falakiyya* (Treatise on the Determi-

nation of Spherical Arcs) and *Risāla fi'l-jawāb ʿan masā'il handasiyya su'ila anhā* “Treatise in Which Some Geometrical Questions Addressed to Him are Answered”).

The *Al-Majistī al-Shāhī* and the *Kitāb fi'l-sumūt* have both been lost. It is known that the latter was written at the request of al-Bīrūnī, as well as dedicated to him, and that it was concerned with various procedures for calculating the direction of the *qibla*. Abū Naṣr’s other significant work, the most complete Arabic version of the *Spherics* of Menelaus, is, however, still extant (although the original Greek text is lost). Of the twenty-two works that are known to have been written by Abū Naṣr, a total of seventeen remain, of which sixteen have been published.

In addition to the books cited above, the remainder of Abū Naṣr’s work consisted of short monographs on specific problems of geometry or astronomy. These lesser writings include *Risāla fi ḥall shubha ʿaradat fi'l-thālitha ʿashar min Kitāb al-Uṣūl* (“Treatise in Which a Difficulty in the Thirteenth Book of the *Elements* is Solved”); *Maqāla fi iṣlāḥ shakl min kitāb Mānālāwus fi'l-kuriyyāt ʿadala fīhi muṣalliḥū hādha 'l-kitāb* (“On the Correction of a Proposition in the *Spherics* of Menelaus, in Which the Emendators of This Book Have Erred”); *Risāla fi ṣanʿat al-aṣṭurlāb bi'l-ṭariq al-ṣināʿī* (“Treatise on the Construction of the Astrolabe in the Artisans Manner”); *Risāla fi'l-aṣṭurlāb al-sartānī al-mujannah fī ḥaqīqatīhi bi'l-ṭariq al-ṣināʿī* (“Treatise on the True Winged Crab Astrolabe, According to the Artisan’s Method”); and *Faṣl min kitāb fi kuriyyat al-samāʾ* (“A Chapter From a Book on the Sphericity of the Heavens”).

Bibliography

1. ORIGINAL WORKS. Abū Naṣr’s version of the *Spherics* of Menelaus exists in an excellent critical edition, with German trans., by Max Krause, “Die Sphärik von Menelaos aus Alexandrien in der Verbesserung von Abū Naṣr Maṣṣūr ibn ʿAlī ibn ʿIrāq. Mit Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Textes bei den islamischen Mathematikern,” in *Abhandlungen der K. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, Phil.-hist. Kl., no. 17 (Berlin, 1936). Most of the rest of his extant work has been badly edited as *Rasā'il Abī Naṣr Maṣṣūr ilā'l-Bīrūnī. Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-ʿUthmāniyya* (Hyderabad, 1948); six of the same treatises are trans. into Spanish in Julio Samsó, *Estudios sobre Abū Naṣr Maṣṣūr* (Barcelona, 1969).

II. SECONDARY LITERATURE. On Abū Naṣr and his work, see D. J. Boilot, “L’oeuvre d’al-Beruni: essai bibliographique” in *Mélanges de l’Institut dominicain d’études orientales*, 2 (1955), 161-256; “Bibliographie d’al-Beruni. Corrigenda et addenda,” *ibid.*, 3 (1956), 391-396; E. S. Kennedy and H. Sharkas, “Two Medieval Methods for Determining the Obliquity of the Ecliptic,” in *Mathematical Teacher*, 55 (1962), 286-290; Julio Samsó, *Estudios sobre Abū Naṣr Mansūr b. ʿAlī b. ʿIrāq* (Barcelona, 1969); “Contribución a un análisis de la terminología matemático-astronómica de Abū Naṣr Mansūr b. ʿAlī b. ʿIrāq,” in *Pensamiento*, 25 (1969), 235-248; Paul Luckey, “Zur Entstehung der Kugeldreiecksrechnung,” in *Deutsche Mathematik*, 5 (1940-1941), 405-446; Muḥammad Shafī, “Abū Naṣr ibn ʿIrāq aur us kā sanah wafāt” (Abū Naṣr ibn ʿIrāq and the Date of his Death”), in Urdu with English summary, in *60 doḡum mūnasebetyle Zeki Velidi Togan’a armaḡan. Symbolae in honorem Z. V. Togan* (Istanbul, 1954-1955), 484-492; Heinrich Suter, “Zur Trigonometrie der Araber,” in *Bibliotheca Mathematica*, 3rd ser., X (1910), 156-160; and K. Vogel and Max Krause, “Die Sphārik von Menelaus aus Alexandrien in der Verbesserung von Abū Naṣr b. ʿAlī ibn ʿIrāq” in *Gnomon*, 15 (1939), 343-395.

JULIO SAMSÓ